



Attorney Docket No. 9400-50

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Marie Adamczyk et al;

Application No.: 10/722,194

Filed: November 25, 2003

Group Art Unit:

Examiner:

For: METHODS OF PROVIDING APPLICATION SERVICES OVER DATA NETWORKS
AND RELATED DATA NETWORKS, APPLICATION SERVICE PROVIDERS, REMOTE
GATEWAYS AND COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCTS

Date: February 4, 2004

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b)

Sir:

Attached is a list of documents on Form PTO-1449, together with a copy of any listed foreign patent document and/or non-patent literature. A copy of any listed U.S. patent and/or U.S. patent application publication is not provided herewith in accordance with the waiver by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office of requirements under 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(2)(i) for all U.S. national patent applications filed after June 30, 2003 and for all international applications that have entered the national stage under 35 USC § 371 after June 30, 2003.

It is requested that these documents be considered by the Examiner and officially made of record in accordance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 and Section 609 of the MPEP.

No fee is believed due. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0220.

Respectfully submitted,


Scott C. Hatfield

Registration No. 38,176


Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A.
P. O. Box 37428
Raleigh, North Carolina 27627
Telephone: (919) 854-1400
Facsimile: (919) 854-1401
Customer No. 20792

Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on February 4, 2004.

Signature: 

Joyce Raoli

FORM PTO-1449 U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office				Attorney Docket Number 9400-50		Serial No. 10/722,194	
LIST OF DOCUMENTS CITED BY APPLICANT (Use several sheets if necessary)							
							
				Applicants: Marie Adamczyk et al;			
				Filing Date November 25, 2003		Group	
U. S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date if Appropriate
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
		Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes No
OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)							
/SB/	1.	Blake et al., "An Architecture for Differentiated Services," <i>The Internet Society</i> (December 1998).					
/SB/	2.	DSL Forum, "DSL Evolution-Architecture Requirements for the Support of QoS-Enabled IP Services," Proposed Draft: PD-00X, Revision 1 (August 2002).					
/SB/	3.	DSL Forum, "DSL Evolution-Architecture Requirements for the Support of QoS-Enabled IP Services," Working Text: WT-081, Revision 4 (December 2002).					
/SB/	4.	DSL Forum, "DSL Evolution-Architecture Requirements for the Support of QoS-Enabled IP Services," Working Text: WT-081, Revision 5 (February 2003).					
/SB/	3.	DSL Forum, "DSL Evolution-Architecture Requirements for the Support of QoS-Enabled IP Services," Working Text: WT-081, Revision 6 (March 2003).					
/SB/	6.	DSL Forum, "DSL Evolution-Architecture Requirements for the Support of QoS-Enabled IP Services," Working Text: WT-081, Straw Ballot Revision (7) (March 2003).					
/SB/	7.	DSL Forum, "DSL Evolution-Architecture Requirements for the Support of QoS-Enabled IP Services," Working Text: WT-081, Straw Ballot Revision (8) (March 2003).					
/SB/	8.	DSL Forum, "DSL Evolution-Architecture Requirements for the Support of QoS-Enabled IP Services," Working Text: WT-081, Letter Ballot Revision (9) (June 2003).					
/SB/	9.	Anschutz et al., DSL Forum, "DSL Evolution-Architecture Requirements for the Support of QoS-Enabled IP Services," Technical Report: TR-059 (September 2003).					

 EXAMINER
 *EXAMINER

/Syed Bokhari/

DATE CONSIDERED

09/04/2007

Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.